

Symmetric path integrals for stochastic equations with multiplicative noise

Peter Arnold

Department of Physics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22901

(Received 1 December 1999)

A Langevin equation with multiplicative noise is an equation schematically of the form $d\mathbf{q}/dt = -\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{q}) + e(\mathbf{q})\xi$, where $e(\mathbf{q})\xi$ is Gaussian white noise whose amplitude $e(\mathbf{q})$ depends on \mathbf{q} itself. I show how to convert such equations into path integrals. The definition of the path integral depends crucially on the convention used for discretizing time, and I specifically derive the correct path integral when the convention used is the natural, time-symmetric one whose time derivatives are $(\mathbf{q}_t - \mathbf{q}_{t-\Delta t})/\Delta t$ and coordinates are $(\mathbf{q}_t + \mathbf{q}_{t-\Delta t})/2$. (This is the convention that permits standard manipulations of calculus on the action, like naive integration by parts.) It has sometimes been assumed in the literature that a Stratonovich Langevin equation can be quickly converted to a path integral by treating time as continuous but using the rule $\theta(t=0) = \frac{1}{2}$. I show that this prescription fails when the amplitude $e(\mathbf{q})$ is \mathbf{q} dependent.

PACS number(s): 05.10.Gg, 02.50.Ey

I. INTRODUCTION

Let ξ be Gaussian white noise, which I will normalize as

$$\langle \xi_i(t)\xi_j(t') \rangle = \Omega \delta_{ij}(t-t'). \quad (1.1)$$

It has long been known that a Langevin equation of the form

$$\frac{d}{dt}q_i = -F_i(\mathbf{q}) + \xi_i \quad (1.2)$$

can be alternatively described in terms of a path integral of the form¹

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}'', \mathbf{q}', t'' - t') = \int_{\mathbf{q}(t')=\mathbf{q}'}^{\mathbf{q}(t'')=\mathbf{q}''} [d\mathbf{q}(t)] \exp \left[- \int_{t'}^{t''} L(\dot{\mathbf{q}}, \mathbf{q}) \right]. \quad (1.3)$$

Here, $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}'', \mathbf{q}', t)$ is the probability density that the system will end up at \mathbf{q}'' at time t if it started at \mathbf{q}' at time zero. However, the exact form of L depends on the convention used in discretizing time when defining the path integral. With a symmetric discretization,²

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}'', \mathbf{q}', t) &= \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} N \int_{\mathbf{q}(0)=\mathbf{q}'}^{\mathbf{q}(t)=\mathbf{q}''} \left[\prod_t d\mathbf{q}_t \right] \\ &\times \exp \left[- \Delta t \sum_t L \left(\frac{\mathbf{q}_t - \mathbf{q}_{t-\Delta t}}{\Delta t}, \frac{\mathbf{q}_t + \mathbf{q}_{t-\Delta t}}{2} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

with Lagrangian

¹For a review of background material in notation close to that which I use here, see, for example, Chap. 4 of Ref. [1]. The most substantial difference in notation is that my \mathbf{F} is that reference's $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{f}$.

²For a discussion of what changes if other discretizations are used in this case, see Ref. [2].

$$L(\dot{\mathbf{q}}, \mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{2\Omega} |\dot{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{F}|^2 - \frac{1}{2} F_{i,i}. \quad (1.5)$$

Here and throughout, I adopt the notation that indices after a comma represent derivatives: $F_{i,j} \equiv \partial F_i / \partial q_j$ and $F_{i,jk} \equiv \partial^2 F_i / \partial q_j \partial q_k$. N is the usual overall normalization of the path integral, which I will not bother being explicit about.

What has not been properly discussed, to my knowledge, is how to correctly form such a symmetrically discretized path integral for the case of Langevin equations with multiplicative noise [meaning noise whose amplitude $e(\mathbf{q})$ depends on \mathbf{q}]. Schematically,

$$\frac{d}{dt}q_i = -F_i(\mathbf{q}) + e_{ia}(\mathbf{q})\xi_a, \quad (1.6)$$

with ξ as before, Eq. (1.1). I will assume that the matrix e_{ia} is invertible. There are a wide variety of applications of such equations, but I will just mention one particular example of interest to me, which motivated this work and for which a path integral formulation is particularly convenient: the calculation of the rate of electroweak baryon number violation in the early universe [3].

By itself, the continuum equation (1.6) suffers a well-known ambiguity. To define the problem more clearly, we must discretize time and take $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$. Specifically, I will interpret Eq. (1.6) in Stratonovich convention, writing

$$\mathbf{q}_t - \mathbf{q}_{t-\Delta t} = -\Delta t \mathbf{F}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}) + e(\bar{\mathbf{q}})\xi_t, \quad (1.7)$$

$$\langle \xi_{at}\xi_{bt'} \rangle = \Delta t \Omega \delta_{ab} \delta_{tt'}, \quad (1.8)$$

where

$$\bar{\mathbf{q}} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{q}_t + \mathbf{q}_{t-\Delta t}}{2}. \quad (1.9)$$

[The fact that I have labeled the noise ξ_t instead of $\xi_{t-\Delta t}$ in Eq. (1.7) is just an inessential choice of convention.] The Stratonovich equation (1.7) is equivalent to the Itô equation

$$\mathbf{q}_t - \mathbf{q}_{t-\Delta t} = -\Delta t \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_i(\mathbf{q}_{t-\Delta t}) + e(\mathbf{q}_{t-\Delta t}) \boldsymbol{\xi}_t, \quad (1.10)$$

with

$$\tilde{F}_i = F_i - \frac{\Omega}{2} e_{ia,j} e_{ja}. \quad (1.11)$$

I will give two different methods for deriving the corresponding path integral. The result is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}'', \mathbf{q}', t) &= \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} N \int_{\mathbf{q}(0)=\mathbf{q}'}^{\mathbf{q}(t)=\mathbf{q}''} \left[\prod_t d\mathbf{q}_t \right] \\ &\times \left[\prod_t \det e \left(\frac{\mathbf{q}_t + \mathbf{q}_{t-\Delta t}}{2} \right) \right]^{-1} \\ &\times \exp \left[-\Delta t \sum_t L \left(\frac{\mathbf{q}_t - \mathbf{q}_{t-\Delta t}}{\Delta t}, \frac{\mathbf{q}_t + \mathbf{q}_{t-\Delta t}}{2} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} L(\dot{\mathbf{q}}, \mathbf{q}) &= \frac{1}{2\Omega} (\dot{q} + F)_i g_{ij} (\dot{q} + F)_j - \frac{1}{2} F_{i,i} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} e_{ia}^{-1} e_{ka,k} (\dot{q} + F)_i + \frac{\Omega}{8} e_{ia,j} e_{ja,i}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

where

$$g_{ij} \equiv (e^{-1})_{ia} (e^{-1})_{ja}. \quad (1.14)$$

This differs from a result previously given by Zinn-Justin³ [1] by the inclusion of the last term in L . Zinn-Justin's derivation was done in continuous time, resolving ambiguities using the prescription $\theta(t=0) = \frac{1}{2}$, which is known to work in the case where $e(\mathbf{q})$ is constant.

Because of the confusion surrounding these issues, I will show how to derive the result in two different ways. First, I will follow the standard procedure for directly turning Langevin equations into path integrals, but I will be careful to keep time discrete throughout the derivation. The second method will be to start from the Fokker-Planck equation equivalent to the Langevin equations (1.7) and (1.10) and to then turn that Fokker-Planck equation into a path integral, again using standard methods.

II. DIRECT DERIVATION FROM THE LANGEVIN EQUATION

Rewrite the discretized Langevin equation (1.7) as

$$\mathbf{E}_\tau - \boldsymbol{\xi}_\tau = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where τ is a discrete time index and

$$\mathbf{E}_\tau \equiv e^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}) [\mathbf{q}_\tau - \mathbf{q}_{\tau-1} + \Delta t \mathbf{F}(\bar{\mathbf{q}})], \quad (2.2)$$

with $\bar{\mathbf{q}} \equiv (\mathbf{q}_\tau + \mathbf{q}_{\tau-1})/2$. The corresponding path integral is obtained by implementing these equations, for each value of τ , as δ functions, with appropriate Jacobian, integrated over the Gaussian noise distribution:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}'', \mathbf{q}', t) &= \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} N \int_{\mathbf{q}(0)=\mathbf{q}'}^{\mathbf{q}(t)=\mathbf{q}''} \left[\prod_\tau d\boldsymbol{\xi}_\tau \exp \left(-\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_\tau^2}{2\Omega\Delta t} \right) \right. \\ &\times \left. d\mathbf{q}_\tau \delta(E_\tau - \boldsymbol{\xi}_\tau) \right] \det_{\tau'a; \tau''i} \left(\frac{\partial E_{\tau'a}}{\partial q_{\tau''i}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

The noise integral then gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}'', \mathbf{q}', t) &= \int \left[\prod_\tau d\mathbf{q}_\tau \right] \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\Omega\Delta t} \sum_\tau E_\tau^2 \right) \\ &\times \det_{\tau'a; \tau''i} \left(\frac{\partial E_{\tau'a}}{\partial q_{\tau''i}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

In our case, Eq. (2.2), the determinant takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \det_{\tau'a; \tau''i} \left(\frac{\partial E_{\tau'a}}{\partial q_{\tau''i}} \right) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_1}{\partial \mathbf{q}_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_2}{\partial \mathbf{q}_1} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_2}{\partial \mathbf{q}_2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_3}{\partial \mathbf{q}_2} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_3}{\partial \mathbf{q}_3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_4}{\partial \mathbf{q}_3} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_4}{\partial \mathbf{q}_4} \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \prod_\tau \det_{ai} \left(\frac{\partial E_{\tau'a}}{\partial q_{\tau''i}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

The registration of the diagonals is determined by the nature of the initial boundary condition, which is that q_0 is fixed. From Eq. (2.2), we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \det_{\tau'a; \tau''i} \left(\frac{\partial E_{\tau'a}}{\partial q_{\tau''i}} \right) &= \prod_\tau \det_{ai} \left[(e^{-1})_{ai} + \frac{1}{2} (e^{-1})_{ak,i} \right. \\ &\times \left. (q_\tau - q_{\tau-1})_k + \Delta t \frac{1}{2} (e^{-1} \mathbf{F})_{a,i} \right] \\ &= \left\{ \prod_\tau \det [e^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}_\tau)] \right\} \\ &\times \left\{ \prod_\tau \det \left[\delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} e_{ja} (e^{-1})_{ak,i} \right. \right. \\ &\times \left. \left. (q_\tau - q_{\tau-1})_k + \Delta t \frac{1}{2} e_{ja} (e^{-1} \mathbf{F})_{a,i} \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

³Specifically, Eq. (4.79) of Ref. [1]. See also Ref. [4], and Sec. 17.8 of Ref. [1], for a continuum time discussion of formulating the path integral for this problem using ghosts.

where all e 's and F 's should now be understood as evaluated at $\bar{\mathbf{q}}_\tau$. Now rewrite the determinants in the last factor of Eq. (2.6) as exponentials in the usual way, using

$$\det(1+A) = e^{\text{tr} \ln(1+A)} = \exp \text{tr} [A - \frac{1}{2}A^2 + \dots]. \quad (2.7)$$

To construct a path integral, we need to keep track of the terms in each time step up to and including $O(\Delta t)$, but we can ignore corrections that are higher order in Δt . For this purpose, the size of $\mathbf{q}_\tau - \mathbf{q}_{\tau-1}$ should be treated as $O(\sqrt{\Delta t})$, which is the size for which the \dot{q}^2 term in the action [the exponent in Eq. (1.12)] becomes $O(1)$ per degree of freedom. So, using the expansion (2.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \det_{ij} [\delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}e_{ja}(e^{-1})_{ak,i}\Delta q_k + \Delta t \frac{1}{2}e_{ja}(e^{-1}\mathbf{F})_{a,i}] \\ &= \exp\{\frac{1}{2}e_{ia}(e^{-1})_{ak,i}\Delta q_k + \Delta t \frac{1}{2}e_{ia}(e^{-1}\mathbf{F})_{a,i} \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{8}e_{ia}(e^{-1})_{ak,m}e_{mb}(e^{-1})_{bl,i}\Delta q_k\Delta q_l + O((\Delta t)^{3/2})\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

It is the $\Delta q\Delta q$ term in this equation, which came from the second-order term in the expansion (2.7), that will generate the difference with the result quoted in Ref. [1]. Putting everything together, we get the path integral Eq. (1.12) with Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} L(\dot{\mathbf{q}}, \mathbf{q}) &= \frac{1}{2\Omega}(\dot{q}+F)_i g_{ij}(\dot{q}+F)_j - \frac{1}{2}F_{i,i} + \frac{1}{2}e_{ia}^{-1}e_{ka,k} \\ & \quad \times (\dot{q}+F)_i + \frac{\Delta t}{8}e_{ia}(e^{-1})_{ak,m}e_{mb}(e^{-1})_{bl,i}\dot{q}_k\dot{q}_l. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

We can simplify this by realizing that the $\dot{q}_k\dot{q}_l$ in the last term can be replaced by its leading-order behavior in Δt . Specifically, recall that $\mathbf{q}_\tau - \mathbf{q}_{\tau-\Delta t}$ is order $\sqrt{\Delta t}$. So one can go for a large number of discrete time steps $1 \ll N \ll 1/\Delta t$ without any net change in \mathbf{q} at leading order in Δt . Moreover, the force \mathbf{F} does not have any net effect, at leading order in Δt , over that number of steps. The result is that $\dot{q}_k\dot{q}_l$ can be replaced at leading order in Δt by its average over a large number of steps, ignoring \mathbf{F} and treating the background value of $e(\bar{\mathbf{q}})$ as constant. The Gaussian integral for $\dot{\mathbf{q}}$ in (1.12) and (2.9) then gives the replacement rule

$$\dot{q}_k\dot{q}_l \mapsto \frac{\Omega}{\Delta t}(g^{-1})_{kl} \quad (2.10)$$

at leading order in Δt . This substitution turns Eq. (2.9) into the result (1.13) presented earlier.

III. DERIVATION FROM FOKKER-PLANCK EQUATION

The Stratanovich Langevin equation (1.7) is well known to be equivalent to the Fokker-Planck equation

$$\dot{P} = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \left[\frac{\Omega}{2} e_{ia} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} (e_{ja} P) + F_i P \right], \quad (3.1)$$

where $P = P(\mathbf{q}, t)$ is the probability distribution of the system as a function of time. This is just a Euclidean Schrödinger

equation, and one can transform Schrödinger equations into path integrals by standard methods. Specifically, rewrite the equation as

$$\dot{P} = -\hat{H}P, \quad (3.2)$$

with the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\Omega}{2} \hat{p}_i e_{ia}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}) \hat{p}_j e_{ja}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}) - i \hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{F}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}). \quad (3.3)$$

To obtain a path integral with symmetric time discretization, it is convenient to rewrite \hat{H} in terms of Weyl-ordered operators. The Weyl order corresponding to a classical expression $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, t)$ is defined as the operator $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_W$ with

$$\langle \mathbf{q} | \hat{\mathcal{O}}_W | \mathbf{q}' \rangle = \int_{\mathbf{p}} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{q}' - \mathbf{q})} \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{p}, \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}'), t). \quad (3.4)$$

For the sake of completeness, I will briefly review how to obtain Weyl ordering of operators in simple cases by considering the application of the operators to an arbitrary function $\psi(\mathbf{q})$. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} [p_i A(\mathbf{q})]_W \psi(\mathbf{q}) &= \langle \mathbf{q} | [p_i A(\mathbf{q})]_W | \psi \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{q}'} \langle \mathbf{q} | [p_i A(\mathbf{q})]_W | \mathbf{q}' \rangle \psi(\mathbf{q}') \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{q}'} \int_{\mathbf{p}} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}')} p_i A\left(\frac{\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}'}{2}\right) \psi(\mathbf{q}') \\ &= i \int_{\mathbf{q}'} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial q'_i} \delta(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}') \right] A\left(\frac{\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}'}{2}\right) \psi(\mathbf{q}') \\ &= -i \frac{\partial}{\partial q'_i} A\left(\frac{\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}'}{2}\right) \psi(\mathbf{q}') \Big|_{\mathbf{q}' = \mathbf{q}} \\ &= -i \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} A(\mathbf{q}) \right] + A(\mathbf{q}) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \right\} \psi(\mathbf{q}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

So

$$[p_i A(\mathbf{q})]_W = \frac{1}{2} \{ \hat{p}_i, A(\hat{\mathbf{q}}) \}. \quad (3.6)$$

One can similarly show that

$$[p_i p_j A(\mathbf{q})]_W = \frac{1}{4} \{ \hat{p}_i, \{ \hat{p}_j, A(\hat{\mathbf{q}}) \} \}. \quad (3.7)$$

Now write the Hamiltonian (3.3) in terms of Weyl-ordered operators. One finds

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{F} = [\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{F}]_W - \frac{i}{2} F_{i,i}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{p}_i e_{ia} \hat{p}_j e_{ja} &= [\mathbf{p} g^{-1} \mathbf{p}]_w - i [e_{ia} e_{ja} p_i]_w \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} (g^{-1})_{ij,ij} - \frac{1}{2} (e_{ia} e_{ja})_{,i}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

So

$$\hat{H} = [H(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})]_w, \quad (3.10)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} H(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) &= \frac{\Omega}{2} \mathbf{p} g^{-1}(\mathbf{q}) \mathbf{p} - i \mathbf{p}_i \left[F_i(\mathbf{q}) + \frac{\Omega}{2} e_{ia}(\mathbf{q}) e_{ja}(\mathbf{q}) \right] \\ &+ u(\mathbf{q}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

$$u = -\frac{1}{2} F_{i,i} + \frac{\Omega}{8} (g^{-1})_{ij,ij} - \frac{\Omega}{4} (e_{ia} e_{ja})_{,i}. \quad (3.12)$$

The usual derivation of the path integral then gives

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}'', \mathbf{q}', t) = \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbf{q}(0)=\mathbf{q}'}^{\mathbf{q}(t)=\mathbf{q}''} \left[\prod_{\tau} \frac{d\mathbf{p}_{\tau} d\mathbf{q}_{\tau}}{(2\pi)^d} \right] e^{-S(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})}, \quad (3.13)$$

$$S(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = \sum_{\tau} \left\{ -i \mathbf{p}_{\tau} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_{\tau} - \mathbf{q}_{\tau-1}) + \Delta t H \left(\mathbf{p}_{\tau}, \frac{\mathbf{q}_{\tau} + \mathbf{q}_{\tau-1}}{2} \right) \right\}. \quad (3.14)$$

Doing the \mathbf{p} integrals with our Hamiltonian (3.11) then reproduces the path integral (1.4) with Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} L(\dot{\mathbf{q}}, \mathbf{q}) &= \frac{1}{2\Omega} (\dot{q}_i + F_i + \frac{1}{2} \Omega e_{ia} e_{ka,k}) g_{ij} (\dot{q}_j + F_j + \frac{1}{2} \Omega e_{jb} e_{lb,l}) \\ &+ u(\mathbf{q}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Now note that

$$(g^{-1})_{ij,ij} = 2e_{ia} e_{ja,ij} + e_{ia,i} e_{ja,j} + e_{ia,j} e_{ja,i}, \quad (3.16)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Omega}{8} (e_{ia} e_{ka,k}) g_{ij} (e_{jb} e_{lb,l}) + u &= \frac{\Omega}{8} e_{ia,i} e_{ja,j} + \left[-\frac{1}{2} F_{i,i} + \frac{\Omega}{8} (g^{-1})_{ij,ij} - \frac{\Omega}{4} (e_{ia} e_{ja})_{,i} \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} F_{i,i} + \frac{\Omega}{8} e_{ia,j} e_{ja,i}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Combining Eqs. (3.15) and (3.17) reproduces the Lagrangian (1.13) asserted in the introduction.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Larry Yaffe, Dam Son, and Tim Newman for useful conversations. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Grant No. DEFG02-97ER41027.

-
- [1] J. Zinn-Justin, *Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena*, 2nd ed. (Oxford University Press, New York, 1993).
 [2] F. Langouche, D. Roekaerts, and E. Tirapegui, *Physics* **95A**, 252 (1979); H. Kawara, M. Namiki, H. Okamoto, and S. Tanaka, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **84**, 749 (1990); N. Komoike, *ibid.*

- 86**, 575 (1991).
 [3] P. Arnold and L. Yaffe, e-print hep-ph/9912305; e-print hep-ph/9912306; P. Arnold, *Phys. Rev. E* **61**, 6091 (2000); e-print hep-ph/9912208; e-print hep-ph/9912307.
 [4] J. Zinn-Justin, *Nucl. Phys. B* **275**, 135 (1986).